

## PRESS RELEASE

### **On the Validity of NOAA, NASA and Hadley CRU Global Average Surface Temperature Data & The Validity of EPA's CO<sub>2</sub> Endangerment Finding Abridged Research Report June 2017**

<https://thsresearch.files.wordpress.com/2017/05/ef-gast-data-research-report-062817.pdf>

**Just released: A peer reviewed Climate Science Research Report has proven that it is all but certain that EPA's basic claim that CO<sub>2</sub> is a pollutant is totally false. All research was done pro bono.**

The objective of this research was to test the hypothesis that Global Average Surface Temperature (GAST) data are sufficiently credible estimates of global average temperatures such that they can be relied upon for climate modeling and policy analysis purposes. The relevance of this research is that the validity of EPA's CO<sub>2</sub> Endangerment Finding requires GAST data to be a valid representation of reality.

In this research report past changes in the previously reported historical data are quantified. It was found that each new version of GAST has nearly always exhibited a steeper warming linear trend over its entire history. And, it was nearly always accomplished by each entity systematically removing the previously existing cyclical temperature pattern. This was true for all three entities providing GAST data measurement, NOAA, NASA and Hadley CRU.

As a result, this research sought to validate the current estimates of GAST using the best available relevant data. The conclusive

findings were that the three GAST data sets are not a valid representation of reality. In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments which removed their cyclical temperature patterns are totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data.

**Thus, despite current claims of record setting warming, it is impossible to conclude from the NOAA, NASA and Hadley CRU GAST data sets that recent years have been the warmest ever.**

**Finally, since GAST data set validity is a necessary condition for EPA's CO<sub>2</sub> Endangerment Finding, it too is invalidated by these research findings. This means that EPA's 2009 claim that CO<sub>2</sub> is a pollutant has been decisively invalidated by this research.**